PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Carol H. Shelton DOCKET NO.: 01-23102.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-27-320-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Carol H. Shelton, the appellant, by attorney Patrick J. Cullerton with the law firm of Thompson Coburn Fagel & Haber in Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 9,250 square foot parcel of land containing a 104-year old, two-story, stucco, single-family dwelling. The improvement contains 2,787 square feet of living area, two and one-half baths, a fireplace, and a full, unfinished basement. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions of nine properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Black and white photographs of the subject property and the suggested comparables, a map of the properties' locations and a brief from the appellant's attorney were also submitted. The data in its entirety reflects that the properties are located within the subject's neighborhood and are improved with a two-story, masonry, frame, frame and masonry or stucco, single-family dwelling with between two and three and one-half baths. In addition, the properties contain a partial or

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 22,600 IMPR.: \$ 47,833 TOTAL: \$ 70,433

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/0737JBV

full basement with four finished, a fireplace for six properties, and, for one property, air conditioning. The improvements range: in age from 73 to 123 years; in size from 2,449 to 3,480 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$11.51 to \$14.37 per square foot of living area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" 17.16 per square feet of living area. The board also submitted copies of the property characteristic printouts for the subject as well as four suggested comparables located within the subject's neighborhood. The board's properties contain a two-story, stucco, single-family dwelling with one and one-half, two or three and one-half baths and a full basement with two finished. In addition, one property contains air conditioning and three properties contain one or two fireplaces. The improvements range: in age from 86 to 93 years; in size from 2,748 to 2,856 square feet of living area and in improvement assessments from \$17.21 to \$20.08 per square foot of living area. In addition, the board submitted copies of its file from the board of review's level appeal. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence and reviewing the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Appellants who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill. 2d 1, 544 N.E.2d 762 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment Proof of assessment inequity should include jurisdiction. assessment data and documentation establishing the physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities of the suggested comparables to the subject property. Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.65(b). Mathematical equality in the assessment process is not required. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769 (1960). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the appellant has not met this burden and that a reduction is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of 13 equity comparables. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables #3, #4 and #9 and the board of review's comparables are the most similar to the subject. These seven comparables contain a two-story, stucco, single-family dwelling located within the subject's

Docket No. 01-23102.001-R-1

neighborhood. The improvements range: in age from 73 to 98 years; in size from 2,673 to 2,948 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$13.92 to \$20.08 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$17.16 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. The PTAB accorded less weight to the remaining comparables due to a disparity in size and/or design.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB further finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

Docket No. 01-23102.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law $(735 \, \text{ILCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$ and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No. 01-23102.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.